

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/621,759 07/17/2003 2852 Scott Cunningham 1710 **EXAMINER** 50855 7590 11/23/2005 UNITED STATES SURGICAL, JACKSON, GARY A DIVISION OF TYCO HEALTHCARE GROUP LP ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 150 GLOVER AVENUE NORWALK, CT 06856 3731

DATE MAILED: 11/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ω
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/621,759	CUNNINGHAM, SCOTT
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gary Jackson	3731
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	2 September 2005.	
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the applicat	tion.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	id/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		,
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐	accepted or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document	nents have been received. Sents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
300 the attached detailed office action for a	not of the octaned copies no	, received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____.

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to applicant's amendment filed September 12, 2005.

The amendment and arguments has been considered however, it has been considered it does not place the application in condition for allowance. Therefore, the action is repeated as in the Office Action mailed June 8, 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al (US Patent 5,913,875) in view of Silverman (US Patent 5,464,422).

The patent to Silverman substantially discloses a surgical needle as recited in claims 1-2. Silverman is silent as the tapered ratios of the regions along the length of the needle. However, Silverman et al set out to solve the same problem recognized by the applicant wherein strength is not sacrificed for a decrease in penetration force of the needle. The patent to Smith et al teaches that varying the ratios of several sections of a needle one can obtain the desire penetration force and strength of the needle. Smith also teaches a needle "devoid of edges" as recited in claims 3 and 10. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to manufacture Silverman's needle with the same tapered length to diameter as suggested by Smith et al.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al (US Patent 5,913,875). The patent to Smith et al suggests that the ratios of a needle sections to obtain desired results. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Smith et al to have ratios as recited in the claims above.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The patent to Smith et al clearly teaches that the ratios of the needle can be adjusted to have desired results. See column 3, line 43 – column 4, line 22. Particularly, Smith recites:

Those skilled in the art will appreciate that the length of the tapered segment 100, as well as tapered segments 120 and 140, will vary in accordance with the size of the needle wire used, the strength and penetration characteristics desired (i.e., tissue piercing, resistance to bending, ease of penetration and the like, etc.

The patents to Smith et and Silverman clearly suggests it would have obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Smith and Silverman needle to have ratios as recited in the above claims to obtain the desired strength and penetration characteristics.

The examiner believes the action is proper and therefore made final.

Art Unit: 3731

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Jackson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4697. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. 7:30 am to 6:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Gary Jackson Primary Examiner nit 3731

Art Unit 3731

November 17, 2005

Application/Control Number: 10/621,759

Art Unit: 3731

Page 5